Discussion of:

Supply Chain Shortages, Large Firms' Market Power, and Inflation

by Francesco Franzoni, Mariassunta Giannetti, and Roberto Tubaldi

Matteo Crosignani New York Fed, CEPR

November 13, 2024

Global Research Forum on International Macroeconomics and Finance

European Central Bank

The views expressed in this discussion are solely the responsibility of the author and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York or of anyone else associated with the Federal Reserve System.

Supply chain shortages, firm performance, and industrial structure

· Ex-ante ambiguous effect on "superstar firms"

- More international and complex supply chain
- More suppliers, might even get preferential treatment during supply chain shortages

· Superstars firms shielded from supply chain shortages

- Superstars obtain larger deliveries than smaller customers of the same supplier
- Superstars have more suppliers than non-superstars
- Their suppliers are themselves large and benefit from a size-related advantage

$\rightarrow\,$ Predictions from a Cournot model with supply chain shortages

- Superstars acquire market share and experience smaller increases in production costs

- Superstars increase their markups and profitability

Supply chain shortages in 2021



- Delivery Time (industry-level) captures the shortages that firms in an industry face
- Industry Exposure Delay is a proxy for industry-level exposure to port congestion
 - \cdot Port congestion not fully capturing the '21 shortage. Is there cross-industry variation?
 - · This dynamic also consistent with superstars facing higher demand

Suppliers seem to favor superstar customers firms during shortages

Panel A	OLS regressions								
Dependent variable	1(Trade > 0)	Containers	Shipments	Weight	Volume	Quantity			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
Star \times Delivery Time	$\frac{0.020^{**}}{(0.010)}$	$\frac{0.981^{***}}{(0.315)}$	$\frac{0.364^{*}}{(0.188)}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 16.478^{***} \\ (6.267) \end{array} $	$\frac{1.306^{***}}{(0.453)}$	$\frac{1.167^{***}}{(0.280)}$			
Star	-0.067^{***} (0.013)	0.816^{**} (0.336)	0.683^{***} (0.210)	16.996^{***} (4.663)	$0.636 \\ (0.467)$	0.010 (0.280)			
Supplier-Year-Qtr FE Firm's Industry-Year-Qtr FE	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
Obs. Adj. R2	$225,\!453$ 0.134	$225,453 \\ 0.144$	$225,\!453$ 0.121	225,453 0.226	$225,453 \\ 0.170$	$225,\!453$ 0.135			

- More on the driver of such firm-size related preferential treatment: Are these inputs goods highly specific? Are suppliers unable to substitute away from superstar firms?

Variation in delivery times likely unrelated to demand shocks

Sample	2019-2021								
	First stage	Second stage							
Dependent variable	Star \times Delivery Time	Containers	Shipments	Weight	Volume	Quantity			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
Star \times Industry Exposure Delays	0.448^{***} (0.065)								
Star \times Delivery Time		$\frac{2.916^{***}}{(0.855)}$	$\frac{1.652^{***}}{(0.496)}$	$\frac{69.791^{***}}{(21.884)}$	$\frac{3.510^{***}}{(1.200)}$	$\frac{2.389^{***}}{(0.695)}$			
Star	1.029^{***} (0.113)	0.903 (0.925)	0.307 (0.546)	-9.736 (22.109)	0.828 (1.140)	0.851 (0.742)			
Supplier-Year-Qtr FE Firm's Industry-Year-Qtr FE Kleibergen-Paap rk Wald F statistic	Yes Yes 46.777	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
Obs.	39,991	39,991	39,991	39,991	39,991	39,991			

- The shift component is the congestion of global ports

- The share component is the industry exposure to the ports at the beginning of the sample

Convincing evidence on superstar advantage during shortages

- The superstar advantage is an interesting fact per se: Cost-push shocks are heterogenous across the size distribution
 - Irrespective of the demand identification concern
 - Irrespective of the exact mechanism at work

ightarrow It would be interesting to present more descriptives

- More evidence across the size distribution of firms
- Which industries are driving the results?
- Which countries (e.g., differences in fiscal support, EU vs. US)?
- Eventually include 2022 data (also interesting in light of the effect on industry dynamics)

Conceptual framework

- · Firms are oligopolists à la Cournot
 - *n* firms with potentially different marginal costs during shortages
 - ightarrow Marginal costs of superstars diverge from that of other firms during shortages
 - P1 Firm *i*'s market share and markup increase when supply chain shortages increase
 - P2 Supply chain shortages lead to an increase in the dispersion of market shares across firms and to an increase in industry's HHI
- Observationally equivalent to a model with an increase in demand for superstar firms
 - · Demand shock might happen because of the shortage (superstars are more reliable in shortages)
 - Demand shock might also happen because of inflation (market power + adaptive expectations)

A model for 2021? Or also 2022?

• The authors document that, during supply chain shortages, ...

- ... suppliers favor superstar customers
- ... superstar firms increase their market share, profitability, and markups
- ... concentrated industries become even more concentrated
- \rightarrow What about other types of shocks? Climate, uncertainty, global trade restrictions?

\rightarrow Now imagine a world with supply chain shortages but no generalized inflation (2021)

- Superstar firms still get a favorable treatment by their suppliers
- Would we still observe higher markups? Probably yes according to this paper

ightarrow Now imagine a world with generalized inflation and supply-side shocks (2022)

- Inflation expectations are heightened across products
- Superstar can exploit their market power

Summarizing

Insightful and impactful paper

- Important contribution to our understanding of cost-push shocks

My suggestions

- More descriptives, like variation across industries and countries

- A model of 2021? Or also of 2022?
- Clarify the assumptions behind the aggregation exercise