Discussion of:

Risk, Monetary Policy and Asset Prices in a Global World

by Geert Bekaert, Marie Hoerova, and Nancy R. Xu

Matteo Crosignani

New York Fed

August 26, 2021

EFA Annual Meeting

APE 05: International Finance

The views expressed in this discussion are solely the responsibility of the author and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of the New York Fed or of anyone else associated with the Federal Reserve System.



Conceptual framework

$$rf_{t} = \underbrace{\frac{rf_{t}^{*}}{\phi_{g}}g_{t} + \phi_{RA}RA_{t} + \underbrace{\phi_{UC}}_{<0}UC_{t}}_{>0} + \phi_{MP}MP_{t}$$

 g_t is expected consumption growth RA_t is the risk aversion UC_t is the uncertainty about aggreg. consumption growth

• How does MP_t affected rf_t ?

- Directly through *MP_t*
- Indirectly by affecting growth expectations g_t
- Indirectly through RA_t and UC_t (relaxation of banks' VAR, lending standards, search-for-yield)
- Reach-for-yield, revealing central bank's reaction
- \rightarrow "Modern" monetary policy more about the slope than rf_t

• How are bonds and stocks affected by changes in MP_t ?

- MP_t affects the term premium that, in turn, affects bond prices
- *MP_t* affects interest rates/risk premium and, in turn, affects equity prices



Main results

Daily data from 2000 to 2015 in the US, euro area, and Japan

- 1) Not a strong effect of monetary policy shocks on either risk aversion or uncertainty
 - Weakening of the risk channel of MP relative to earlier studies
 - Risk aversion and uncertainty comove strongly across countries
 - → Can we disentangle these two channels econometrically?
- 2) MP has a strong effect on domestic ST rates
 - Important international spillovers (not only from the US)
- 3) No special role for US monetary policy on equity prices
- 4) Bond prices heavily affected by MP shocks, weak intl spillovers
- 5) The "global risk aversion" is highly correlated with the "global financial cycle" measure by Miranda-Agrippino and Rey (2020)

Contribution

US as the "hegemon" country?

- US monetary policy affects risk appetite and thus asset prices around the world (Miranda-Agrippino and Rey, 2020).
- Powell in 2018: "The role of US monetary policy is often exaggerated."

Why does it matter?

- Is the "dominant paradigm" vanishing?
- Does foreign monetary policy affect domestic financial stability?

Contribution

- Not really in the methods, but the paper is now a (very thorough) statistical exercise
- → Needs more "economics"

Micro-foundations

What are the channels of monetary

- No need to add an analysis of investor level holdings
- but I would add a discussion of agents' portfolio choices to further rationalize findings
- e.g., investors' international allocation
- e.g., bond vs. stock investors

Stock and bond markets are segmented

- Several results on equity Vs. bond markets (e.g., domestic effects of MP and intl spillovers)
- These markets are segmented. Does it matter?

MP_t

Obtain MP_t from high frequency data

- Follow Jarocinski and Karadi (2020) → use high frequency movements in interest rates and stock prices (10min before; 20min after)
- Announcements: FOMC announcements (US), ECB press conferences and "major speeches"
- But monetary policy decisions have been recently inferred by markets well before their announcement (e.g., through interviews by officials and even minor speeches)

Estimating the effect of MP shocks

- Effectively an event study on event day
 - \dots but effect on RA and UC might take more to be incorporated in prices.

Overall

- Thorough analysis of effects of MP and risk shocks on asset prices in US, EUR, JP
- New results on international spillovers
- The international role of US MP might have been exaggerated
- My comments:
 - More economics (motivation, microfoundations)
 - A few quibbles about MP_t
 - Exchange rates?