Discussion of:

Sharing the Pain? Credit Supply and Real Effects of Bank Bail-ins

by Thorsten Beck, Samuel Da-Rocha-Lopes, André F. Silva

Matteo Crosignani Federal Reserve Board

FIRS

Barcelona, 1 June 2018

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this discussion are solely the responsibility of the author and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or of anyone else associated with the Federal Reserve System.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ● 目 ● のへで

Bank Bail-ins

► Recent crises → bank bail-outs

- Large government losses (see Irish Pyrrhic victory)
- Moral hazard by banks
- Very unpopular (see recent Italian political campaign)
- Bank defaults are costly

This Paper

- Exploit unexpected collapse of Banco Espirito Santo (BES)
- Bail-in affected:
 - 1) Shareholders
 - 2) Junior bondholders
 - 3) Other banks
- Exploit Portuguese credit register
- Effect of bail-in on bank credit, employment, investment

うして ふぼう ふけう ふせう しょうめん

Summary of Results

Exposed banks cut credit more than not exposed banks

- 5.7% per cent more (granted credit lines)
- Especially to firms that had bailed-in bank as main lender
- Especially to large, high-profitability, and safe firms

▶ Firms able to undo the contraction but pay higher rates

- but SMEs subject to credit contraction

Negative effect on investments and employment

- $\uparrow \sigma$ firm bail-in exposure $\rightarrow -2.0\%$ in investments
- $\uparrow \sigma$ firm bail-in exposure $\rightarrow -1.5\%$ in employment
- Stronger effects for illiquid SMEs that increase cash holdings in response to the funding shock

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

The Collapse of Banco Espirito Santo

Aug14: central bank applies resolution measure to BES

- Caused by losses from parent family-controlled companies
- Third largest bank in Portugal
- Followed the EU Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive

Assets divided in:

- "Good bank" (Novo Banco) with €4.9bn capital
- "Bad bank" for shareholders and junior bondholders

Good bank capital provided by a resolution fund + govt

- Contribution by the government: €3.9bn
- → Contribution by 8 large banks: \in 0.7bn

Bail-in Shock

- Unexpected shock, no contagion



- Is this shock to banks economically large?
 - Hits only 8 banks for €0.7bn (and it's a *loan* to the fund)
- ▶ Is this a *bail-in* shock?
 - Looks similar to other cash flow shocks (e.g., hurricane)
 - Might even be a positive shock for banks other than BES

・ロト ・ 聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Supervisory Data

1) Central Credit Register

- · Quarterly information on all loans >€50 in Portugal
- · Loans to non-fin firms by all banks operating in Portugal
- · Total amount, short-term, long-term, past due
- · Information on credit drawn and granted

2) Individual Information on Interest Rates

· Matched firm-bank interest rate information on new loans

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 国 ト → 国 - の Q ()

3) Central Balance Sheet Database

- · Firm-level info on virtually all Portuguese firms
- · Assets, year of incorporation, equity, net income, no. employees, debt, cash holdings

4) Bank Supervisory Database

· Bank balance sheet data

Within-Firm Analysis

 $\Delta log(Credit)_{bi} = \beta BankExposure_b + \delta' X_b + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{bi}$

Changes in credit supply within firms ...

- · LHS is log change in granted credit
- · Khwaja-Mian FEs α_i
- · Bank-level controls *X* (missing control for funding mix)

... based on BankExposure

- · Percentage of assets of each bank exposed to the bail-in
- \rightarrow Share of assets that was effectively bailed-in for BES is 6.8%
- → Contribution (€0.7bn loan) to the Resolution Fund granted by 8 banks ranges from 0.04% to 0.37% of tot assets
- \rightarrow **0%** for all other banks (how many?)

Within Firm Estimation

		$\Delta logTota$	$\Delta logCreditLines_{bi}$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Bank Exposure	-0.989***	-1.143***	-1.520*		-2.723***	
	(0.311)	(0.320)	(0.824)		(0.863)	
Bank Exposure \times SMEs		· /	· · · ·	-1.441*		-2.659***
				(0.829)		(0.881)
Bank Exposure \times Large Firm	s			-3.133***		-4.048***
				(0.836)		(0.866)
No. Observations	116,245	116,245	116,245	116,245	39,573	39,573
No. Firms	40,927	40,927	40,927	40,927	14,320	14,320
Adj. R^2	0.001	0.047	0.049	0.050	0.103	0.103
Bank Controls	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Firm FE	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
No. Bank Relationships > 1	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Credit Lines with \neq Banks	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y

- (3): +1 StDev Bank Exposure (0.020) \rightarrow -3.0% bank credit supply
- Show estimates of bank controls
- Show here estimation in the full sample of firms (now online appendix)

Within Firm Estimation

		$\Delta logTota$	$\Delta logCreditLines_{bi}$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Bank Exposure	-0.989*** (0.311)	-1.143*** (0.320)			-2.723^{***} (0.863)	
Bank Exposure \times SMEs	(0.011)	(0.020)	(0.021)	-1.441*	(0.000)	-2.659***
				(0.829)		(0.881)
Bank Exposure \times Large Firm	ns			-3.133***		-4.048***
				(0.836)		(0.866)
No. Observations	116,245	116,245	116,245	116,245	39,573	39,573
No. Firms	40,927	40,927	40,927	40,927	14,320	14,320
Adj. R^2	0.001	0.047	0.049	0.050	0.103	0.103
Bank Controls	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ
Firm FE	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
No. Bank Relationships > 1	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Credit Lines with \neq Banks	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ

- Effect stronger for large firms and safer firms.
- Firms with stronger relationship with the resolved bank suffered more
- What about the extensive margin? Rates?

Within Firm Estimation

		$\Delta logTota$	$\Delta logCreditLines_{bi}$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Bank Exposure	-0.989***	-1.143***	-1.520*		-2.723***	
Pour Publicouro	(0.311)	(0.320)	(0.824)		(0.863)	
Bank Exposure \times SMEs	· · · ·	× /	· · · ·	-1.441*	· · ·	-2.659***
				(0.829)		(0.881)
Bank Exposure \times Large Firm	IS			-3.133***		-4.048***
				(0.836)		(0.866)
No. Observations	116,245	116,245	116,245	116,245	39,573	39,573
No. Firms	40,927	40,927	40,927	40,927	14,320	14,320
Adj. R^2	0.001	0.047	0.049	0.050	0.103	0.103
Bank Controls	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ
Firm FE	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
No. Bank Relationships > 1	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Credit Lines with \neq Banks	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ

- Focus on granted credit lines (sample firms now $\approx 1/3$)
- Stronger effects for credit lines (Ippolito et al, 2016)
- (3): +1 StDev Bank Exposure (0.020) $\rightarrow -5.7\%$ bank credit supply ・ロト・4回ト・ミト・ミト・ミー つへで

Looking for a Counterfactual

BankExposure obviously not randomly assigned

- · Show summary stats of exposed and non-exposed banks
- · We already now that exposed banks are 9 very large banks

うして ふぼう ふけう ふせう しょうめん

What is the counterfactual?

- · Bailout with public funds?
- · Bail-in with a well funded resolution fund?
- · Bail-in with a fund with loans from all banks?

Effect on Total Firm Credit and Real Effects

- Firms might "undo" the credit contraction
 - · Firms might start new relationships
 - · Firms might borrow more from unaffected banks
 - · Collapse data at the firm-level

 $\Delta log(Y)_i = \beta (FirmExposure)_i + \tau' F_i + \delta' \overline{X}_i + \widehat{\alpha}_i + \epsilon_i$

- Exploit firm-level heterogeneity in *indirect* bail-in exposure

· $\Delta log(Y)_i$ is log change in *total* bank credit and real effects

- · F are firm characteristics and industry and district FE
- \overline{X} are the indirect bank controls
- $\cdot \hat{\alpha}$ firm-specific demand shock

Firm Cross-Sectional Estimation

	$NewLending \\ Relationship_i$			$\begin{array}{l} \Delta logCredit_i \\ (\text{Except Bailed-in Bank}) \end{array}$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Firm Exposure	0.535 (0.352)	-0.659 (0.423)		4.020*** (0.518)	4.566^{***} (0.558)		
Firm Exposure \times SMEs			-0.674		· /	4.540^{***}	
Firm Exposure \times Large Firm	IS		(0.433) -0.220 (0.809)			(0.585) 5.359*** (1.042)	
No. Observations / Firms Adj. R^2	40,927 0.012	40,927 0.058	40,927 0.058	40,927 0.018	40,927 0.342	40,927 0.342	
Firm Controls	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	
Bank Controls	N	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ν	Ŷ	Ŷ	
Credit Demand	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Υ	Υ	
Industry FE	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	
District FE	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	
No. Bank Relationships > 1	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	

- Firms are able to "undo" the credit contraction

Firm Cross-Sectional Estimation

	$NewLending \\ Relationship_i$			$\frac{\Delta logCredit_i}{(\text{Except Bailed-in Bank})}$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Firm Exposure	0.535	-0.659		4.020***	4.566***		
-	(0.352)	(0.423)		(0.518)	(0.558)		
Firm Exposure \times SMEs			-0.674			4.540***	
			(0.433)			(0.585)	
Firm Exposure \times Large Firms			-0.220			5.359***	
			(0.809)			(1.042)	
No. Observations / Firms	40,927	40,927	40,927	40,927	40,927	40,927	
Adj. R^2	0.012	0.058	0.058	0.018	0.342	0.342	
Firm Controls	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	
Bank Controls	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Y	Y	
Credit Demand	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	
Industry FE	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Y	
District FE	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Y	
No. Bank Relationships >1	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	

- Contraction in credit lines granted to more exposed SMEs

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

- Real effects on investments and employment

Overall

- Excellent paper, very relevant question
- Opens up interesting questions about how to design bail-ins

- Few comments about identification