

Discussion of:  
**Surviving the Perfect Storm: The Role of The  
Lender of Last Resort**

by Nuno Alves, Diana Bonfim, Carla Soares

Matteo Crosignani  
Federal Reserve Board

Monetary Policy Implementation in the Long Run

Minneapolis Fed, 18 October 2016

*Disclaimer: The views expressed in this discussion are solely the responsibility of the author and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or of anyone else associated with the Federal Reserve System.*

# This Paper

- ▶ Question:
  - Is the LOLR effective in preventing a credit contraction?
- ▶ How:
  - Sudden stop in Portugal in May 2010
  - ECB liquidity provision as a textbook LOLR intervention
  - Comprehensive credit registry matched with bank and firm characteristics
- ▶ Results:
  - Loan supply to firms unaffected by the shock
  - Large and better capitalized banks reduce credit supply
  - ECB liquidity also used to buy government bonds

# Empirical Setting

- ▶ ECB as a LOLR since 2008
  - Provides unlimited collateralized loans to eurozone banks
  - Short maturity pre-2012 (2 weeks to 3 months)
  - Accepts wide range of collateral (govt bonds, ABS, MBS)
  - Attractive haircut and interest rate in eurozone periphery
- ▶ Portugal
  - Sudden stop in 2010 (fear of contagion)
  - Large uptakes of ECB liquidity (20% GDP)
  - Credit registry loan-level data
  - Large subset of firms with multiple credit relationships

# Identification Strategy

- ▶ Ideal setting:
  - 1) ECB randomizes liquidity injections in bank balance sheets
  - 2) Firms and banks randomly matched
    - ⇒ Exploit heterogeneity in ECB liquidity provision
- ▶ In reality:
  - ✗ Banks *choose* how much to borrow from ECB
    - ⇒ Sudden stop is *exogenous* and banks simply substitute
    - ⇒ Bank-level controls
  - ✓ Endogenous bank-firm match
    - ⇒ Firm FE to isolate credit supply from credit demand

# Endogeneity of ECB Uptakes

- 1) *corr*(Funding Shock, ECB borrowing)
  - If banks substitute *corr*  $\approx -1$
  - “The increase in ECB funding *largely surpassed* the liabilities that needed to be refinanced”
- 2) What if ECB was not providing liquidity?
  - Credit contraction is *assumed*
- 3) Bank run unlikely to be exogenous
  - More on this run (ST Vs. LT, foreign Vs domestic)
  - Fundamental component likely
  - Show balance sheet summary stats depending on
    - (i) Sudden stop funding contraction
    - (ii) ECB borrowing

# Suggestions

- ▶ Result on government bond holdings:
  - Very interesting, but no evidence to rule out risk-shifting
  - Analyze purchases of newly issued bonds
- ▶ More on credit quality
  - Use *ex-post* defaults
- ▶ Extensions
  - Extend to analyze longer maturity liquidity provisions
  - Include credit supply to households in the analysis
  - Include loan interest rates

# Overall

## Interesting paper and important findings

- 1) Needs a coherent theory behind bank portfolio choice
  - What drives bank heterogeneity  
e.g., large and better capitalized
  - What drives purchases of government bonds?
- 2) Caution in using the “LOLR language”
  - Unable to disentangle liquidity vs solvency

Thank you!